
## SB823 2025, Q1 Update

ALAMEDA COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT

# 707 (b) Adjudications, DJJ Commitments, and Secure Track Sustained Totals (Cumulative)

Most Serious Offense for Youth with New Secure Track
Commitments (n = 1)


- 12 707(b) referrals sustained during the reporting period across 11 individual youth
- <u>0</u> youth were committed to DJJ
- <u>0</u> youth returned from DJJ
- <u>0</u> reinstated commitment to SYTF
- 1 new commitments to SYTF



## Youth Eligible for SYTF Under WIC 875(a)

- 150 youth with a sustained
   707(b) offense were active to probation during the quarter
  - 133 (89%) youth were male
  - <u>17</u> (11%) youth were female
  - <u>110</u> (73%) youth were Black
  - <u>30</u> (20%) youth were Hispanic


#### Youth Age 14+ w/ Sustained 707(b) Offenses Based on the most Recent Referral (n = 150)



### Overview of Youth Committed to SYTF\*

- 13 youth were committed to SYTF as of March 31, 2025
  - 100% of youth were court ordered
  - 92% of youth were male
  - <u>8%</u> of youth were female
  - 38% of youth were from Oakland
  - The average age of youth was <u>18.0</u>
  - The average length of time in SYTF 350.0 days

#### Race of Youth Committed to SYTF (n = 13)




<sup>\*</sup>Figures as of March 31, 2025

## Overview of Youth Committed to SYTF Cont.\*

- For the 13 youth, the average
  - Number of referrals prior to SYTF commitment was 4.9
  - Number of bookings prior to SYTF commitment was 3.2
  - Number of true findings prior to SYTF commitment was 3.2
  - Time between 1<sup>st</sup> referral and SYTF commitment was 2.9 years

## Most Serious Sustained Offense for Youth Committed to SYTF (n = 13)



## Step-downs and Closures\*

- As of March 31, 2025,
  - 4 youth were on step-down from SYTF to a less restrictive program
    - These programs included step-down to Camp, GPS, community supervision, and interstate compact.
  - 23 youth had their Secure Track grant closed
    - This includes youth with jurisdiction transfers and youth whose cases were closed out with the ACPD due to a transfer on interstate compact (IC), in addition to youth whose cases were closed due to their term ending, being discharged early, or an unsuccessful completion.
    - As of March 31, 2025, 3 youth were closed out due to jurisdictional and IC transfers & 1 youth was released back to DJJ due to 779 motion being denied.

# THANK YOU

ALEX GARCIA

PROBATION SPECIALIST

ALGARCIA@ACGOV.ORG