

**ALAMEDA COUNTY  
JJCC SB 823 SUBCOMMITTEE  
SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES  
January 17, 2023  
Microsoft Teams Teleconference  
12:30-2:30 p.m.**

**JJCC Subcommittee Members or Designees Present:**

Marcus Dawal, ACPD	Marcos Campos, OPD
Christine Gerchow, ACBH	Vamsey Palagummi, JJDCP
Monica Vaughan, ACOE	Emily Young, DPN
Andrea Zambrana, Conflict Counsel	Caryn Nowak, District 1 Representative
Al Mance, Public Defender	Trevor Arceneaux, District 4 Representative
Michelle Love, SSA-CWS	

**Meeting Participants:**

Louise Anderson, JJDCP	Ray Lara, County Counsel
Sascha Atkins-Loria, PD	Ian Long, ACPD
Rodney Brooks, PD	Carissa Pappas, ACPD
Yasmin Burke, ACPD	Chuck Pattillo, ACPD
Adrienne Chambers, ACPD	James Rivers, ACPD
Laura Chavez, ACPD	Danielle Soto, Impact Justice
Scott Dickey, County Counsel	April Spikener, ACPD
Brian Ford, ACPD	Darryl Stewart, BOS D4
Alex Garcia, ACPD	Robert Walton, ACPD
Angel Garcia, CHHS	Anna Kane, ACPD (Recorder)

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>Action Needed</b>
1. Welcome and Roll Call	Meetings will continue monthly until the plan update is complete.	
2. Continue Virtual Meetings	A motion was made by Al Mance to continue the declaration of emergency recommendations for social distancing issued by Alameda County Health Care Services Agency and adopt findings in support of continued teleconference meetings as per AB 361. Michelle Love seconded the motion. Motion passed.	
3. Approval of Meeting Minutes	Al Mance moved to adopt the October 26, 2022 meeting minutes. Motion seconded by Trevor Arceneaux. Motion passed.	
4. Programming for Girls and Gender Expansive Youth	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation attached</li> <li>• The language from the report can be added to the next plan               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Probation will have this on the agenda for action at the next meeting to adopt the language for the plan</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<i>Email presentation to the Subcommittee</i>

5. Step-Down Options

- Document is being worked on by Erin Palacios and Al Mance
- Programs do not exist yet for this age group, 18-21
- Planning to have a meeting with the judges for their input
- Top priorities include:
  - Housing
  - Mental health programs should be long-term even after youth's association with the county ends
  - Substance abuse programs should also be long-term
  - Vocational training
  - Mentoring or wraparound services for those youth that do not have families
- The plan needs to be an outline providing the foundation; naming specific providers is not necessary
- The plan should be ready by the next meeting

**Has Impact Justice's Homecoming project or the Dream Center in Oakland been being considered?**

Al Mance will look into it.

It was suggested that the plan should include the desired outcomes of the vocational programs such as licenses, internships, apprenticeships. The plan should leave us open to work with various programs.

It was also suggested that the plan should describe what the program should look like and provide an example or use a program as a model.

**Should the RFP include very specific criteria?**

Probation works with GSA on the scope of work when writing the RFPs. Any details regarding program needs can be included.

A comment was made that it would be useful to see another type of step-down option aside from Camp in the plan.

It was suggested that the RFPs contain language that the providers be required to undergo training specifically to understand the mental health needs of the age group 18-26. Christine Gerchow offered to help identify who to provide said training. She also suggested weekly consultation groups for the providers. The other point is that Medi-Cal does not pay for enough sessions (12-16 weeks). Need to explore Cal-Aim. The number of sessions should be doubled or tripled from what is allowed by Medi-Cal.

**While the youth are on probation, how is the mental health funded? Can money be set aside to provide counseling for the youth?**

Probation will need to explore this.

**Can the providers be given an opportunity to provide input into the programs they would offer?**

*Probation to look into this.*

	<p><b>Does the RFP process allow for the back and forth with the vendor?</b> Probation has to put out the Scope of Work. It has to be a fair and transparent process.</p>	
6. Public Comment	Angel Garcia from CHHS announced that she was present at the meeting.	
7. Upcoming Meetings	Next SB 823 Subcommittee Quarterly meeting is scheduled February 16, 2023, 12:30-2:30, via Teams Next two SB 823 Subcommittee Special meetings are scheduled for March 16 and March 30 (if needed), 12:30-2:30 at 1111 Jackson Street, Oakland, Room 226-228	
8. Adjournment	Meeting adjourned 1:38 p.m.	

DRAFT